

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/786,865	02/24/2004	Isao Hayashi	1232-5307	1232-5307 3674	
	27123	7590 03/09/2006		EXAMINER		
		FINNEGAN, L.L.P.		BOATENG, ALEXIS ASIEDUA		
	3 WORLD FINANCIAL CENTER NEW YORK, NY 10281-2101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2838		

DATE MAILED: 03/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
0850 - 4 - 4 0		10/786,865	HAYASHI, ISAO				
Office Action Su	mmary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Alexis Boateng	2838				
The MAILING DATE of t Period for Reply	his communication app	ears on the cover shee	et with the correspondence ac	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FR - Extensions of time may be available und after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing of - If NO period for reply is specified above, - Failure to reply within the set or extende Any reply received by the Office later the earned patent term adjustment. See 37	ROM THE MAILING DA er the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 date of this communication. the maximum statutory period w d period for reply will, by statute, in three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMU 16(a). In no event, however, ma- rill apply and will expire SIX (6) cause the application to become	JNICATION.  by a reply be timely filed  MONTHS from the mailing date of this one ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status							
<ul><li>2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b>.</li><li>3) ☐ Since this application is</li></ul>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 February 2004</u> .						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☑ Claim(s) 1-16 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-89)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	ing Review (PTO-948)	Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTC	)-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/786,865

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1 10, drawn to AC adapter and methods therefor classified in class 320 subclass 107.
- II. Claims 11 16, drawn to a program classified in class 700 subclass 89.

  The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are directed to related inventions. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions appear to be mutually exclusive since one claims a program and the other a hardwar device, and the mode of operation is distinct because one uses machine code and the other uses hardware.

- 2. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/786,865

Art Unit: 2838

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: WITHIN GROUP, there are three SPECIES – Species 1: claims 1 and 6; Species 2: claims 2, 4 and 7; Species 3: claims 3, 5 and 8; Species 4: claims 11 and 14; Species 5: claims 12 and 15; Species 6: claims 13 and 16. The species are independent or distinct because they each have mutually exclusive elements such as voltage, temperature and time measurement.

Page 3

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

5. A telephone call was made to Joseph Calvaruso on February 28, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexis Boateng whose telephone number is (571) 272-5979. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 6:00 pm, Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Karl Easthom can be reached on (571) 272-2084. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/786,865

Art Unit: 2838

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AB

KARL EASTHOM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER